# TREEPLAN<sup>®</sup>-Ageneticevaluationsystemforforesttrees.

<sup>1</sup>McRaeT.A., <sup>3,4</sup>ApiolazaL.A., <sup>3</sup>DutkowskiG.W., <sup>2</sup>KerrR.J., <sup>1</sup>Pilbeam, D.J.,<sup>1</sup> Powell.M.B.and <sup>2</sup>Tier.B. <sup>§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SouthernTreeBreedingAssociationInc.,MountGam bier,SA,Australia. <sup>2</sup>AnimalGeneticsandBreedingUnit,UniversityofNe wEngland,Armidale,NSW,Australia. <sup>3</sup>CooperativeResearchCentreforSustainableProduc tionForestry, UniversityofTasmania,Hobart,Australia. <sup>4</sup>Currentaddress:ForestryTasmania,Hobart,TAS,Au stralia

The TREEPLAN<sup>®</sup> genetic evaluation system is designed specifically for the efficient and accurate es. TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup>usesthepreferredstatisticalmethod predictionofbreedingandothergeneticvaluesintre of best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) using an in dividual tree additive genetic effect. Although BLUP methods are well developed theoretically, other softwar eissuitableonlyforbreedingvalueestimationand prediction on small and/or highly structured (balanced ) data sets. Packages such as ASREML and SAS havehardwareandsoftwarelimitationsthatmakethemu nsuitableforroutinepredictiononlargedatasets tions.TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup>fitsareduced individual tree withcomplexpedigreestructures and overlapping genera model for purposes of efficiency. TREEPLAN can model multiple genetic groups, handle clonal da ta, fit multi-trait models with more than 50 traits, accommoda te heterogeneous variances, fit site specific statistical and genetic models, and also weights infor mationacrossenvironments(accountsforgenotype byenvironmentinteraction)andtime(allowsforage:a gecorrelations).

nely using TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> for genetic evaluation in The Southern Tree Breeding Association (STBA) is routi Pinusradiata, Eucalyptusglobulus and E. nitens. TREEPLAN® Australiantreeimprovementprogramsfor hasalloweddataacrossgenerationsandyearstobecomb inedinamulti-trait analysis to produce single combination. TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> is easy to use and has lists of breeding values for each trait and environment the 'industrial strength' to handle large amounts of unbalanceddatawiththecomplexpedigreestructures that are usually associated with national or regional t ree improvement programs. TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> is fully integrated with a web based data management system that t efficiently handles data and pedigree the TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> system has made routine genetic information. The analytical power and flexibility of evaluationintreesastraightforwardprocess.

### INTRODUCTION

The total plantation estate in Australia is 1.63 mill ion hectares (National Plantation Inventory 2003). The tionalbreedingcooperativesfor Pinusradiata and SouthernTreeBreedingAssociation(STBA)runsthena Eucalyptus globulus . These two species comprise about two-thirds of the nati onalestate, and are mostly usedforsolidwoodproductsandpulpandpaperproduc tion.

Treeimprovementprogramsfundamentallyconsist of (i) defining a breeding objective, (ii) mating among parents, (iii) testing offspring in field trials, (iv ) analysing performance data and genetic evaluation, ( V) selectingtreesfordeploymentandfurtherbreedingwi theliteparents.Ingeneral,treebreedershavebeen proficient at handling the biological aspects of tree breeding and trial establishment. However, they have oftenfailedtooptimiseinatimelymannergeneticeva luation using pedigree and correlated performance information. That is, it is relatively easy to plant a nd assess trees in progeny trials to generate data. However, it is often much more difficult to process the d ata collected in an efficient and comprehensive manner.Asaconsequence,theSTBA,likemanyothertre eimprovementprograms, hadaccesstomany records (unprocessed data) from research and breeding tri als that did not meet the usual restrictive requirementsofasimpleanalysis.

Historically, treebreeding has emphasised experimental blocks in increasingly complex designs) in trees to account more complete modelling of the genetic components. Si modelshavethusbeenthenormintreebreeding. Thi analysis, including best linear prediction (BLP), withou havelargelybeenusedwithasecondstagetopredictwi

designfeatures(replicates, plots and incomplete for local environmental effects, compared to ngle-generation, single-site and single-traitmixed shasallowedtheuseofstraightforwardmethodsof t a numerator relationship matrix. Family models thin-familyvalues.

The STBA adopted the individual tree additive geneti c model (ITM) BLUP in its tree improvement programsduringthe1990s(Jarvis etal.1995). However, its application was limited to rela tivelysmalland uncomplicated data sets until the development of the TRE EPLAN<sup>®</sup> system. The application of such a model occurred later in tree breeding, and is much less common than in animal breeding. This situation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Paperpresentedinthe27 <sup>th</sup>SouthernForestTreeImprovementConference.Stillwat er.Oklahoma.Julv 2003.

hasarisenbecausebreedingprogramsfortreesareusually pedigrees, and trees are evaluated in large designed tr pollination, such that simpler family models are possib Unlikeanimals, treesare often not subject to culling, so of genotype by environment interactions (GxE) is ofte r The number and type of traits measured is usually limit assume greater importance.

Ily intheirearlygenerations, with simple shallow tr ials. Families are often the result of openle for the prediction of parental breeding values. so that data sets are more balanced. The magnitude nunknown, except in a large environmental range. ed, but is rapidly evolving as wood quality traits

Modern tree improvement programs demand a greater use reasons. Breedingprograms are progressing and nowspan different samples of the same base population are bein important to account for the effects of selection overtim overlapping generations, where a proportion of all br families are not tested at all test sites at the same tim between trees and between traits, making it easier fo breedingprograms.

e of BLUP to predict genetic values for several several generations. Individual programs with g consolidated into larger cooperatives. It is e. Manyprograms are now making the transition to eeding activities is performed each year, and all e. Finally, there is a need for integrating all data r selection and to monitor the genetic progress of

Currently, the STBA is collecting performance data int rialsonthird-generation progeny in P.radiata and second-generation progeny in E. globulus . In the past, breeding values were estimated using BLP for P. radiata(White etal. 1992ab)andBLUPfor E.globulus (Jarvis etal .1995). DuetoalackofsuitableBLUP software, multiple and independent lists of breeding values made it difficult to compare trees for genetic merit across a population. Despite the existence of good geneticlinkage, pedigrees were too complex to be accommodated. Large quantities of data were also excl uded because trial assessments were tawere'messy'ordidnotfullysatisfyotherrestrictive incompleteordoneatdifferentages. Thatis, the da requirementsof'balance'.

This inefficient use of data and information is clear ly undesirable, particularly for large national breed ing cooperatives. In order to overcome this weakness, the STBA designed TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> to apply 'industrial strength' individual tree model BLUP on a program wide veloped the TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> system for use in the Australian tree improvement programs for *P. radiata* and *E. globulus*, it has been designed with flexibility for much wide rapplication.

This paper discusses some of the key features of TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> and its routine application of BLUP in forestry.

# TheGeneticandStatisticalModels

The statistical approach used in TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> is designed for maximal efficiency as it includes all t design effects used in simpler analyses, but can incorporate all of the data that has been collected in a singleanalysis–combining different traits and across all pedigrees. It fits all near mixed model of the form:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{X}\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e}$$

where:yisthevectorofobservationsononeormoretraits;fisthevectoroffixed site and design effects,withits incidence matrixW; risthevectorofrandom design effects, with its incidence matrix X; uis thevector of random additive genetic effects (breeding values)with its incidence matrixY; s is the vector ofrandom specific combining effects (SCA) with its incidencece matrixZ; and eisthevector of

Theestimatesofthefixedandrandomdesignandgenet iceffectsareobtainedbysolvingthemixedmodel equations(MME's)(Henderson1984)usingGauss-Seidelit eration:

$W \mathbf{\hat{R}}^{-1} W$	$W\mathbf{\hat{R}}^{-1}\mathbf{X}$	$W'\mathbf{R}^{-1}Y$				$\begin{bmatrix} W' \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$	
$X \mathbf{\hat{R}}^{-1} W$	$\mathbf{X'R}^{-1}\mathbf{X} + [I \otimes \mathbf{G}_r]^{-1}$	$\mathbf{X'R}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$	$\mathbf{X'R}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}$	$\hat{r}$	_	$\mathbf{X'R^{-1}y}$ $Y'\mathbf{R^{-1}y}$	
$Y'\mathbf{R}^{-1}W$	$Y'\mathbf{R}^{-1}X$	$Y'\mathbf{R}^{-1}Y + [A \otimes \mathbf{G}_a]^{-1}$	$Y'\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}$	û	-	$Y'\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{y}$	
$\mathbf{Z'R}^{-1}W$	$\mathbf{Z'R}^{-1}\mathbf{X}$	$\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{R}^{-1}Y$	$\mathbf{Z'R}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} + \left[I \otimes \mathbf{G}_{s}\right]^{-1}$	$\hat{s}$		$Z'R^{-1}y$	

where, the new terms represent variance-covariance matrice softheerror(  $\mathbf{R}$ ), random design effects(  $\mathbf{G}_r$ ), additive genetic effects(  $\mathbf{G}_a$ ), and specific combining effects(  $\mathbf{G}_s$ ) and the relationships between the additive genetic effects(  $\mathbf{A}$ , the additive (or numerator) relationship matrix) a ndindependent random effects(  $\mathbf{I}$ ), and  $\otimes$  is the Kronecker product.

This model offers substantial advantages over the models Breeding values (and other genetic effects) are estimated parents and offspring, in a single analysis. Where a tra prediction is made of its breeding value using informa ti genetic, design or error levels. If there is no such informa

els usually used in forest genetic trial analysis. foralltraits, foralltrees in the pedigree-both trait has not been measured on a tree then the best tion from relatives and from traits correlated at the rmation, then the estimate is at the population mean, but the variance of the estimates grows as the amount of The use of correlated traits allows correction for the used for selection is included. The solutions give the hig values, provided that the variances and covariances are know BLP, where the fixed effects are assumed to be known. T and can be readily extended to more complex models.

The model uses the Amatrix to track the proportion of genes in common bet ween trees in the pedigree and gives solutions for all of them without any secondar individual tree model (ITM). It easily handles half-s workedout (Henderson 1976) to create the inverse that for the types of pedigrees that are common inforest genes in common bet yprocess of the data in what has been called an ib and full-sib pedigrees, and simple rules have been is used in the MME's. The matrix can be modified etictrials: fixed provenance or selected parentage (such as seed or chard) effects (Quaas 1988), partial selfi pollenmixes (Perez-Enciso and Fernando 1992).

The software uses an equivalent gametic model for compuvalues for trees without offspring (the majority).

tational efficiency in the prediction of breeding

$$y_i = \mu_i + \frac{u_f + u_m}{2} + s_j + \phi_i + e_i$$

where:  $\mu_i$  is the mean,  $y_i$ ,  $s_j$  and  $e_i$  are as defined above,  $u_f$  and  $u_m$  represent the breeding values for the tree's female and male parents respectively, and  $\phi_i$  represents Mendelian sampling in the formation of the tree's genotype. That is, 5  $u_i$ +.5  $u_m$  represent "average" gametes from each parent, and  $\phi_i$  represents the deviation from the average of the gametes received by the progeny. The genotypic and gametic models are equivalent models, in that the solutions to the u nknowns will be exactly the same for both models. Their combined use is called a "reduced" individual tr eemodel.

### **TraitMappingtoSelectionCriteria**

Intheory,theMME'scanhandlealldatabytreating eachmeasurementoneachsiteasaseparatetrait, as long as all the variances and correlations are known. In practice, however, such and approach is computationallyinfeasible, not all variances and correl ationsareknownanddealingwithoutputwouldbe very confusing to the breeder, because of the many trait s. The mapping of multiple measured traits to a smaller meaningful number of selection criteria (SC) t raits is a feature of TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup>. This allows a reduction (consolidation) in the number of traits for which breeding values are predicted in a multi-trait  $^{\ensuremath{\text{ B}}}$  its flexibility and ease of use as the breeder can easil analysis. This mapping gives TREEPLAN ydefine onsolidate data with different forms and scales of the SC traits of interest. The mapping allows us to c g as it can be realistically assumed that all the measurement, different ages and different sites, as lon measurementshaveasufficientlyhighcorrelationtobe treated as one. For example, if diameter at breast height(DBH) is measured between ages 3 to 12 years, the n a sensible strategy is to propose three SC traits: DBH ≤4 yrs, DBH 5-8 yrs and DBH 9-12 years. We recommend onl y mapping traits displaying significantgeneticvarianceinasingle-siteanalysis.

# HeterogeneousVariances

Breeding programs collect data from trials spread acro ssadiverserange of site types and age classes. Some traits are or have been assessed using different pro tocols. For example, growth may have been measuredastreeheight,stemdiameterortreevolume ;andstemformusingseveral scales with different levels of precision. The variance of performance traits suchasgrowthusuallyincreases with size, growth rateandageoftrees. Alineartransformation of th edatasuchthatthephenotypicvarianceisunityisan approach often used in plant and animal breeding to m ake variances homogeneous. A disadvantage of this approach for tree breeding is that a constant her itability would need to be assumed across all sites, despitesomesitesbeingmorehomogeneous.Treebreeders alsohavethebenefitoflargedesignedtrials that provide good estimates of variances and spatial varia bility (replication and blocking), genetic and residualvariancesandcorrelationsspecifictoeachsite.T REEPLAN<sup>®</sup>takesadvantageoftheavailabilityof these estimates to overcome these problems by: (i) transfo rming the data for each trait to unit additive varianceonasitebysitebasis; and (ii) using thew ithin site error (to allow for different heritabili ties) and significantdesignfactor(eg.rep,plotandincomplet eblock)variancesintheBLUPanalysis.

# GenotypexEnvironmentInteraction

As well as age differences, geographical location and/or sitetype are other possible criteria for proposing new SC traits out of the one generic traits uch as gr owth. For example, it may be necessary to partition the SC trait, DBH ≤4 yrs, further in a multi-siter un, according to prov ince, stateor soil type. GxE interaction is where different environments induced ifferent kinds of genetic variance to be displayed. That is, GxE may

result in a change of ranking of genotypes across environm ents. However, GxE due to scale effects is effectively removed by data transformation (standardisati on). Flexibility in mapping of traits in TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> accommodates specific geographical and environmental combinations by creating environmental subclasses.

In practice, the best method to handle GxE is to consid environments as two different but correlated traits (Fa differentlocationscanbeconsideredbiologicallythesa example,  $\geq 0.8$ ). A breeder can either define different producti selecting for general adaptation) if environmental eff examplitude and nature of GxE in Australia for sets. Studies with more extensive data sets are currently and better define the target production environments. er the same character measured in two different looner and Mackay 1994). A trait measured at meSCtraitwhenthegenetic correlationishigh (for extrait measured at meSctraitwhenthegenetic correlation

### GeneticGroups

In forestry, parents of first-generation progeny are typically trees from native stands (or plantations) sampledfrommanydifferentgeographicalregionsthat representdifferentprovenancesorraces.Because provenances are quite genetically distinctitis importan ttoassumethat E(g)  $\neq$  0, where g is the vector of genetic values. Male parents are usually unknown and fema le parents are assumed to be unrelated. Seeds from the female parents (founders) are collected from various localities spread across a wide geographical area. Thus, it is reasonable to consider t hat progeny are from more than one genetically divergent sub-population. TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> relates all foundation parents on the basis of thei r original provenance to genetic groups. In practise, data sets ar elikely to be far more complex. For example, a gto a particular population, such as, a routine or an maleparent (pollen) might be identified as belongin improvedpopulation. Founders introduced from another unrelatedbreedingprogrammightalsoconstitute a different genetic group. The modified mixed model equations of Quaas (1988) are used to derive solutionsto g.

# ClonalData

Individual trees can be replicated using various forms of in *P. radiata* and are also used in some Eucalypt breeding programs. T REEPLAN<sup>®</sup> currently treats clones as the same individual and matches unique clone identit improve the precision of breeding values. Versions of T capable of predicting genetic values, including additi clones, recognising the potential for soma clonal variati particularly important for deployment of clones.

### PartialSelfinginOpenPollinatedSeed

Trees can be partially self-fertile, generating pedigre es progeny result from selfing), a selfed sib and an outcro se breeding program most progeny tested in the first-gene collected from founder trees in native forest stands. Unt controlled pollination crosses) are included in the ana lys dependent on how well the relationship coefficients be two Dutkowski (2001) has outlined simple rules to modify the assumed. These rules can be further extended to account fo standand the level of coancestry in the trees local to the Sparse stands of trees are expected to have a higher le stands. This functionality is currently being implemented in the stands. The server serve serve serve serve serve serve to the server server serve ser

RunningTREEPLAN<sup>®</sup>

An efficient data management system is critical for ac cessing data and pedigree information to produce breeding values quickly. The TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> analytical system is fully integrated with a modern d ata based interface. TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> can be run management system (STBA-DMS) which operates via a web independently of the STBA-DMS, but its interactive na ture makes the process of genetic evaluation far more straightforward and efficient. It also facilita tes data entry and analysis from various locations. The STBA-DMSismainlydesignedforstorageandretrieval oftreedataforthepurposesofgeneticevaluation. Itisflexibleandaccommodates different species of trees. Useraccessisrestricted and data is password <sup>®</sup>runsfor protectedtotheleveloftraitswithintrials. Thisal lowsustoeasilycompletemultipleTREEPLAN hen also including data for traits belonging to a the membership, firstly using only generic data, but t restricted group of clients. This provides the flexibi lity needed in large cooperative tree improvement programstosatisfyindividualclientneedsandproduce customisedbreedingvalues.

es where two progeny may be selfed sibs (both ssed sib, full-sibs or half-sibs. In the *E. globulus* ration are derived from open-pollinated seed il many more second-generation progeny (from lysis, the accuracy of breeding value prediction is tween sibsof open-pollinated trees can be defined. NRM when a selfing rate in native stands is tfo r the equilibrium level of inbreeding in the efemale parent from which seeds were collected. vel of inbreeding among the progeny than dense in TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup>. TREEPLAN<sup>®</sup> extracts genetic parameters, data and run specifications from the STBA-DMS. Making <sup>®</sup>run is a simple process. That is, it is a straightforw changes to specifications for a new TREEPLAN ard processtoinclude(exclude)newtrialsand/ormoretrai tsinamulti-trait BLUP analysis. As new trials are assessed, the data is validated and entered. Multi-variat e analyses are first done on a trial by trial basis using ASREML and the variances and correlations for all signed to regularly update breeding values. significant design and random genetic components are stored in the STBA-DMS. The system is de Thatis.asquicklvasatraitismeasured.dataente redandsinglesiteanalysiscompleted, TREEPLAN thenrunwiththecompletedatabase.

# GeneticEvaluationin E.globulus and P.radiata

TREEPLAN<sup>®</sup> is being used routinely to predict genetic (breeding and deployment) values for trees included in the *E.globulus* and *P.radiata* databases. As new trials and traits are assessed, the da tais entered into the database, analyses are done on a single site basis a breeding values for all trees in the specified populatio nare updated. Table 1 lists details of datasets used in recentruns of TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup>.

Pinus radiata. Breeding values were predicted for 117,778 genotypes (different trees) in the population. This included trials from the southern States of Austra lia (Powell et al. 2002). The inclusion of many (hundreds)outstandinghistoricalfirst-andsecond-gene rationtrialsyettobeenteredinthedatabase, will be done as resources are made available. At this stage, breeding values are predicted for Selection Criteriatargetingthedifferentproductionregions defined in the National Plantation Inventory for Aus tralia (Wood etal. 2001). Selection Criteria traitsforgrowthinclude: sixproduction regions by four age classes (0-5 yrs, 6-12 yrs, 13-24 yrs and >24 years). Branch angle, branch quality, branch size and stem straightnesscomprisetheformtraits.Basicdensity(0-12 yrsand >13years)andSpiralGrain(0-6yrsand se and pest resistance/tolerance traits will be ≥6 years) constitute wood quality traits. Data for disea incorporated with time.

Eucalyptus globulus . Beeding values were predicted for 174,369 genotypes i n the population. This included trials from South Australia, Tasmania, Vict oria and Western Australia (Pilbeam et al. 2002). A rolling front is used with some breeding, assessment an d selection activities done on an annual basis. Prediction of breeding values is a dynamic process, such th atTREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> breeding values are updated regularlyastraitsaremeasured, datacompiled and va lidated.Atthisstage,breedingvaluesforgrowthare predictedinfourproductionregionsbythreeagecla sses (0-4yrs, 5-8yrsand 9-12years). Basicdensity, bytwoageclasses, and pilodynpenetration comprise qual itytraits. Dataforpestanddisease resistances (defoliation), kraftpulpyield, NIRApulpandcellul osecontent, collapse, shrinkage and tree form traits wil L beincorporated with time. Trees in the CSIRO collectio ns(GardnerandCrawford1987,1988)willbeused toestablishabaselineformonitoringgeneticimprovem entovertime.

	Species		
	Pinusradiata	Eucalyptusglobulus	
Generations	3	2	
TrialsincludedinAnalysis	68	87	
NumberofSelectionCriteriaTraitsAnalysed	19	10	
Genetic(founder)Groupsfitted	12	25	
Families	3033	1550	
GenotypesincludedinAnalysis	117,778	174,369	

 Table1 — DatasetsusedinrecentrunsofTREEPLAN
 ® for P.radiata and E.globulus.

### **FutureEnhancements**

In partnership with the Forest and Wood Products Research STBA and AGBU plan to develop Version 2 of TREEPLAN modelling of intra-site environmental variation using information at the DNA level (markers and candidate gen effects to allow for the full exploitation of these no populations, and (4) Development of a clearer unders environments.

### CONCLUSIONS

Treebreeding programs have evolved to the stage where return on investment through breeding. TREEPLAN <sup>©</sup> routine application of individual tree model BLUP to

the adoption of BLUP is required to maximise s a genetic evaluation system that facilitates the forest tree data. TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> can model multiple

n

genetic groups, handle clonal data, fit multi-trait models with more than 50 traits, accommodate heterogeneousvariances, fitsitespecificstatistical and gen eticmodels, and weight information to account nteraction. TREEPLAN<sup>®</sup> has allowed data across for age-age correlations and genotype by environment i generations and years to be combined in multi-traita nalysestoproducebreedingvaluesforeachtraitand environment combination of interest on a program basis. TREEPLAN<sup>®</sup> is easy to use and has the 'industrial strength' and speed to handle large amou nts of unbalanced dat structures. TREEPLAN <sup>®</sup> is fully integrated with a web based data management nts of unbalanced data with complex pedigree system that efficiently handles data and pedigree information. The TREEPLAN system is being used routinely to update gramsfor P. radiata and E. globulus . TREEPLAN® breedingvaluesintheAustraliantreeimprovementpro alsofacilitatestheadoptionofefficientrollingfron tbreedingprogramswithoverlappinggenerations.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Theauthorsacknowledgethecontributionofdataandin financial support. The authors thank Chris Hutchinson member companies for their input. Inparticular, wet FFP), Dr Jo Sasse (Forest Science Centre), Stephen Elms Boomsma, Peter Gore and Andrew Cameron (SeedEnergy Pty Ltd), and Dr Brad Potts, Dr Carolyn Raymond, DrGustavoLopezandDrYongjunLi(CRC-SPF)).

### REFERENCES

**Borralho, N.M.G. and Dutkowksi, G.W.** (1998). Comparison of rolling front and discrete ge neration breeding strategies for trees. *Canadian J. Forest Res.* 28:987-993.

**Dutkowski, G.W. and Gilmour, A.R.** (2001). Modification of the additive relationship matrix for open pollinated trials. In 'Developing the Eucalypt of the Future' 10-15 September 2001, Valdivia, Chile p. 71 (Instit uto Forestal Chile).

**Gardner, C.A. and Crawford, D.F.** (1987). 1987 Seed Collections of *Eucalyptus globulus* subsp. *globulus* for treeimprovementpurposes. TreeSeedCentre, CSIRO DivisionofForestResearch, Report, Canberra.

**Gardner,C.A.andCrawford,D.F.** (1988).1988seedcollections *ofEucalyptusglobulus* subsp.*globulus* fortree improvementpurposes.TreeSeedCentre,CSIRODivis ionofForestryandForestProducts,Report,Canber ra.

Gilmour, A.R., Cullis, B.R., Welham, S.J. and Thomp son, R. (2001). ASREML Reference Manual. September 24, 2001.

**Henderson, C.R.** (1976). A simplemethod for computing the inverse of a numerator relationship matrix used in the prediction of breeding values. Biometrics 32:6 9-83.

Henderson, C.R. (1984). 'Applications of Linear Models in Animal B reeding.' (University of Guelph: Guelph: )

Jarvis, S. F., Borralho, N.M.G. and Potts, B.M. (1995). Implementation of a multivariate BLUP mode I for genetic evaluation of *Eucalyptus globulus* in Australia. In 'Eucalypt Plantations: Improving Fibre Yield and Quality' (Eds. B.M. Potts, J.B. Reid, R.N. Cromer, W.N. Tibbits and C.A. Raymond). pp. 212-216. Proc. CRCTHF-IUFROConf.,Hobart,19-24Feb.(CRCforTem perateHardwoodForestry:Hobart).

**Perez-Enciso, M. and Fernando, R.L.** (1992). Genetic evaluation uncertain parentage: a comparison of methods. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 84:173-1 79.

**Pilbeam, D.J., McRae, T.A., Powell, M.B., Kerr, R.J**., **Apiolaza, L.A. and Dutkowski, G.W.** (2002). STBA TREEPLAN<sup>®</sup> breeding values for *Eucalyptus globulus*. STBAConfidential Technical Report TR02-04.

**Powell,M.B.,McRae,T.A.,Pilbeam,D.J.,Kerr,R.J**.,**Dutkowski,G.W.,Wu,H.X.andSasse,J.** (2002).STBA TREEPLAN<sup>®</sup>breedingvaluesfor *Pinusradiata*.STBAConfidentialTechnicalReportTR02-08.

Quaas, R.L. and Pollak E.J. (1980). Mixed model methodology for farm and ranch beef cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 51:1277-1287.

Quaas, R.L. (1988). Additive genetic model with groups and relationships. J. Dairy Sci. 71:1338-1345.

White, T.L., Matheson, A.C., Cotterill, P.P., Johns on, R.G., Rout, A.F. and Boomsma, D.B. (1999). A nucleusBreedingPlanforRadiataPineinAustralia .SilvaeGenetica48:122-133.

White, T.L., Rout, A.F., Boomsma, D.B. and Dutkowski, G.W. (1992a). STBA Confidential Technical ReportTR92-02. Predicted Breeding Values of 1213 First-Generation Parents.

White, T.L., Boomsma, D.B. and Rout, A.F. (1992b). STBA Confidential Technical Report TR92-0 4. STBA BreedingValuePredictionsfor1152Second-Generati onSelectionsofRadiataPine.

**Wood, M.S., Stephens, N.C., Allison, B.K. and Howel** National Plantation Inventory and National Farm For Sciences, Canberra.